κ-Deformed Phase Space, Hopf Algebroid and Twisting*

Tajron JURIĆ †, *Domagoj KOVAČEVIĆ* ‡ *and Stjepan MELJANAC* †

† *Rudjer Bošković Institute, Bijenička cesta 54, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia*
E-mail: *tajron.juric@irb.hr*, *meljanac@irb.hr*

‡ *University of Zagreb, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing, Unska 3, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia*
E-mail: *domagoj.kovacevic@fer.hr*

Received February 21, 2014, in final form November 11, 2014; Published online November 18, 2014
http://dx.doi.org/10.3842/SIGMA.2014.106

arXiv:1402.0397v4 [math-ph] 15 Dec 2014

Abstract. Hopf algebroid structures on the Weyl algebra (phase space) are presented. We define the coproduct for the Weyl generators from Leibniz rule. The codomain of the coproduct is modified in order to obtain an algebra structure. We use the dual base to construct the target map and antipode. The notion of twist is analyzed for κ-deformed phase space in Hopf algebroid setting. It is outlined how the twist in the Hopf algebroid setting reproduces the full Hopf algebra structure of κ-Poincaré algebra. Several examples of realizations are worked out in details.

Key words: noncommutative space; κ-Minkowski spacetime; Hopf algebroid; κ-Poincaré algebra; realizations; twist

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 81R60; 17B37; 81R50

1 Introduction

Motivation for studying noncommutative (NC) spaces is related to the fact that general theory of relativity together with Heisenberg uncertainty principle leads to the uncertainty of position coordinates itself $\triangle x_\mu \triangle x_\nu > l^2_{\text{Planck}}$ [22, 23]. This uncertainty in the position can be realized via NC coordinates. There are also arguments based on quantum gravity [22, 23, 36], and string theory models [20, 61], which suggest that the spacetime at the Planck length is quantum, i.e. noncommutative.

We will consider a particular example of NC space, the so called κ-Minkowski spacetime [13, 17, 37, 40, 41, 49, 50, 51, 53, 54, 56, 57, 58], which is a Lie algebraic deformation of the usual Minkowski spacetime. Here, κ is the deformation parameter usually interpreted as Planck mass or the quantum gravity scale. Investigations of physical theories on κ-Minkowski spacetime leads to many new properties, such as: modification of particle statistics [5, 18, 24, 27, 64, 65], deformed electrodynamics [28, 29], NC quantum mechanics [3, 4, 31, 46, 47], and quantum gravity effects [11, 21, 26, 30, 60]. κ-Minkowski spacetime is also related to doubly-special and deformed relativity theories [1, 2, 10, 43, 44].

The symmetries of κ-Minkowski spacetime are described via Hopf algebra setting and they are encoded in the κ-Poincaré–Hopf algebra (in the same sense as are the symmetries of Minkowski spacetime encoded in the Poincaré–Hopf algebra). A Hopf algebra is a bialgebra equipped with an antipode map satisfying the Hopf axiom. The bialgebra is an (unital, associative) algebra which is also a (conunital, coassociative) coalgebra such that certain compatibility conditions are satisfied. The antipode is an antihomomorphism of the algebra structure (an antialgebra

*This paper is a contribution to the Special Issue on Deformations of Space-Time and its Symmetries. The full collection is available at http://www.emis.de/journals/SIGMA/space-time.html

homomorphism). Hopf algebras are used in various areas of mathematics and physics for fifty years. See [8, 52] for some examples.

It turns out that the notion of the Hopf algebra is too restrictive and it has to be generalized. For example, it is shown that the Weyl algebra (quantum phase space) can not have a structure of a Hopf algebra. Namely, the whole phase space (Weyl algebra) generated by p_μ and x_μ (or $\hat{x}_\mu$) can not be equipped with the Hopf algebra structure, since one can not include $\triangle x_\mu$ in a satisfactory way, i.e. the notion of Hopf algebra is too restrictive for the whole phase space (Weyl algebra). Several types of generalizations are possible: quasi-Hopf algebras, multiplier Hopf algebras and weak Hopf algebras. Our construction is very similar to the structure of the Hopf algebroid defined by Lu in [48].

Lu was inspired by the notion of the Poisson algebroid from the Poisson geometry. Namely, some Hopf algebras are quantization of the Poisson groups. Now, Hopf algebroids can be considered as the quantization of the Poisson groupoids. Lu introduces two algebras: the base algebra A and the total algebra H. One can consider the total algebra H as the algebra over the base algebra A. The left and right multiplications are given by the source and the target maps. Hence, the coproduct $\triangle$ is defined on the total algebra H and the image lies in $H\otimes_A H$ which is an (A, A)-bimodule but not an algebra. Namely, $H\otimes_A H$ is the quotient of $H\otimes H$ by the right ideal. G. Böhm and K. Szlachányi in [9] considered the same structure as Lu did, but they changed the definition of the antipode. For more comprehensive approach, see [8]. Let us mention that some ideas existed before the definition of Lu in which the base algebra or both the base algebra and the total algebra had to be commutative (see [8, 48] and references therein). Bialgebroid is equivalent to the notion of $\times_A$-bialgebra introduced much earlier by Takeuchi in [62].

One can analyze the structure of the Hopf algebra by twists. See [6, 7] for more details. P. Xu in [63] applies the twist to the bialgebroid (which he calls Hopf algebroid although he does not have the antipode). It is important to mention that Xu uses the definition of the bialgebroid which is equivalent to the definition from [48].

In [40] κ-Minkowski spacetime and Lorentz algebra are unified in a unique Lie algebra. Realizations and star products are defined and analyzed in general and specially, their relation to coproduct of the momenta is pointed out.

The deformation of Heisenberg algebra and the corresponding coalgebra by twist is performed in [57]. Here, the so called tensor exchange identities are introduced and coalgebras for the generalized Poincaré algebras are constructed. The exact universal R-matrix for the deformed Heisenberg (co)algebra is found.

The quantum phase space (Weyl algebra) and its Hopf algebroid structure is analyzed in [33]. Unification of κ-Poincaré algebra and κ-Minkowski spacetime is done via embedding into quantum phase space. The construction of κ-Poincaré–Hopf algebra and κ-Minkowski spacetime using Abelian twist in the Hopf algebroid approach has been elaborated.

Twists, realizations and Hopf algebroid structure of κ-deformed phase space are discussed in [34]. It is shown that starting from a given deformed coalgebra of commuting coordinates and momenta one can construct the corresponding twist operator.

In the present paper, the total algebra is the Weyl algebra $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ and the base algebra is the subalgebra $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ generated by noncommutative coordinates $\hat{x}_\mu$. The construction of the target map is obtained via dual realizations. The codomain of the coproduct is changed. We take a quotient of the image of the coproduct instead of quotient of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}\otimes\hat{\mathcal{H}}$. As a consequence, the right ideal by which Lu [48] has taken the quotient is now two-sided and the codomain of the coproduct has the algebra structure. The notion of the counit is related to realizations. Furthermore, we manage to incorporate the twist in our construction, obtaining the Hopf algebroid structure from the twist.

This paper is structured as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the κ-Minkowski spacetime and κ-deformed phase space, and we establish the connection between Leibniz rule and coproduct

for the Weyl generators. Also, the dual basis is introduced and elaborated. The Hopf algebroid structure of κ-deformed phase space $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ and undeformed phase space $\mathcal{H}$ is presented in Section 3. In Section 4 we first discuss the realizations and then we provide the twist operator in the Hopf algebroid approach. It is shown that the twisted Hopf algebroid structure of phase space $\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to the Hopf algebroid structure of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$. Finally, in Section 5 we consider the κ-Poincaré–Hopf algebra in the *natural* realization (classical basis). It is outlined how the twist in Hopf algebroid setting reproduces the full Hopf algebra structure of κ-Poincaré algebra. Also, we discuss the existence and properties of twist in all types of deformations (space-, time- and light-like).

2 κ-deformed phase space

2.1 κ-Minkowski spacetime

Let us denote coordinates of the κ-Minkowski spacetime by $\hat{x}_\mu$. Latin indices will be used for the set $\{1,\dots,n-1\}$ and Greek indices will be used for the set $\{0,\dots,n-1\}$. The Lorentz signature of the κ-Minkowski spacetime is defined by $[\eta_{\mu\nu}] = \mathrm{diag}(-1,1,\dots,1)$. Let $\mathfrak{g}_\kappa$ be the Lie algebra generated by $\hat{x}_\mu$ such that

$$[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = i\left(a_\mu \hat{x}_\nu - a_\nu \hat{x}_\mu\right), \tag{2.1}$$

where $a \in \mathbb{M}^n$. The relation to κ mass parameter is $a_\mu = \frac{1}{\kappa} u_\mu$, $u_\mu \in \mathbb{M}^n$ ($u^2 = -1$ time-like, $u^2 = 1$ space-like and $u^2 = 0$ light-like). The enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}_\kappa)$ of $\mathfrak{g}_\kappa$ will be denoted by $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$.

2.2 Phase space

The momentum space $\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{C}[[p_\mu]]$ is the commutative space generated by p_μ such that

$$[p_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = -i\varphi_{\mu\nu}(p) \tag{2.2}$$

is satisfied for some set of real functions $\varphi_{\mu\nu}$ (see [33, 34, 40] for details). Let us recall that $\lim\limits_{a\to 0} \varphi_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} 1$ and $\det\varphi \neq 0$. We also require that generators $\hat{x}_\mu$ and p_μ satisfy Jacobi identities. This gives the set of restrictions on functions $\varphi_{\mu\nu}$ (see equation (11) in [40] or equation (4) in [34]). The existence of such space $\mathcal{T}$ is analyzed in several papers [40, 54]. One particularly interesting solution is the set $\{p^{\mathrm{L}}_\mu\}$ which is related to the so called *left covariant* realization [40, 54] where $\varphi_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} Z^{-1}$, i.e. (2.2) leads to

$$\left[p^{\mathrm{L}}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu\right] = -i\eta_{\mu\nu} Z^{-1}. \tag{2.3}$$

Here Z denotes the shift operator defined by

$$[Z, \hat{x}_\mu] = i a_\mu Z, \qquad [Z, p_\mu] = 0,$$

and for the *left covariant* realization is given by

$$Z^{-1} = 1 + \left(a p^{\mathrm{L}}\right),$$

where we used $\left(a p^{\mathrm{L}}\right) \equiv a^\alpha p^{\mathrm{L}}_\alpha$. The phase space $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is generated as an algebra by $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ such that (2.1) and (2.2) are satisfied.

Let $\blacktriangleright$ be the unique action of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ on $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$, such that $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ acts on itself by left multiplication and $t \blacktriangleright \hat{f} = [t, \hat{f}] \blacktriangleright 1$ for all $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and $\hat{f} \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$. $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ can be considered as an $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$-module.

2.3 Leibniz rule

We have already mentioned that $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ does not have the structure of the Hopf algebra, but it is possible to construct the structure of the Hopf algebroid. In this subsection we do the preparation for the coproduct which will be completely defined in Section 3. The formula for the coproduct can be built from the action $\blacktriangleright$ and the Leibniz rule (see [40, Section 2.3] and [34]). In κ-Poincaré–Hopf algebra $\mathcal{U}_\kappa(\mathcal{P})$ (where $\mathcal{P}$ is generated by momenta p_μ and Lorentz generators $M_{\mu\nu}$) the coproducts of momenta and Lorentz generators are unique and $\triangle|_{\mathcal{U}_\kappa(\mathcal{P})} : \mathcal{U}_\kappa(\mathcal{P}) \to \mathcal{U}_\kappa(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \mathcal{U}_\kappa(\mathcal{P})$. However in the Hopf algebroid structure the coproduct of generators p_μ and $\hat{x}_\mu$ are not unique, modulo the right ideal $\hat{\mathfrak{K}}$ in (2.10).

Let $\triangle(\hat{h}) = \hat{h}_{(1)} \otimes \hat{h}_{(2)}$ for $\hat{h}_{(1)}, \hat{h}_{(2)} \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}$ (using Sweedler notation). Then

$$\hat{h}\blacktriangleright(\hat{f}\hat{g}) = m\big(\triangle(\hat{h})\blacktriangleright(\hat{f}\otimes\hat{g})\big) = \big(\hat{h}_{(1)}\blacktriangleright\hat{f}\big)\big(\hat{h}_{(2)}\blacktriangleright\hat{g}\big) \tag{2.4}$$

for $\hat{f}, \hat{g} \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$.

Now we recall the formula for the coproduct of p_μ defined by $\triangle|_{\mathcal{T}} : \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{T}$. Then

$$p_\mu\blacktriangleright(\hat{f}\hat{g}) = \big[p_\mu, \hat{f}\hat{g}\big]\blacktriangleright 1 = \big(\big[p_\mu, \hat{f}\big]\hat{g} + \hat{f}[p_\mu, \hat{g}]\big)\blacktriangleright 1 = \big[p_\mu, \hat{f}\big]\blacktriangleright\hat{g} + \hat{f}p_\mu\blacktriangleright\hat{g}. \tag{2.5}$$

For example let us write the coproduct of p_μ^{L}. One finds by induction, starting with (2.3) that $\big[p_\mu^{\mathrm{L}}, \hat{f}\big] = \big(p_\mu^{\mathrm{L}} \blacktriangleright \hat{f}\big)Z^{-1}$, $\forall\, \hat{f} \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$. Inserting this result in the r.h.s. of (2.5) and comparison with r.h.s. of (2.4) for $\hat{h} = p_\mu^{\mathrm{L}}$ gives

$$\triangle\big(p_\mu^{\mathrm{L}}\big) = p_\mu^{\mathrm{L}} \otimes Z^{-1} + 1 \otimes p_\mu^{\mathrm{L}}.$$

Now, let us consider elements $\hat{x}_\mu$. It is clear that

$$\triangle(\hat{x}_\mu) = \hat{x}_\mu \otimes 1 \tag{2.6}$$

since $\hat{x}_\mu\blacktriangleright(\hat{f}\hat{g}) = (\hat{x}_\mu\hat{f})\hat{g}$. Formula (33) from [40] shows that

$$\hat{x}_\mu\blacktriangleright(\hat{f}\hat{g}) = \big(Z^{-1}\blacktriangleright\hat{f}\big)(\hat{x}_\mu\blacktriangleright\hat{g}) - a_\mu\big(p_\alpha^{\mathrm{L}}\blacktriangleright\hat{f}\big)(\hat{x}_\alpha\blacktriangleright\hat{g})$$

and[1]

$$\triangle'(\hat{x}_\mu) = Z^{-1} \otimes \hat{x}_\mu - a_\mu p_\alpha^{\mathrm{L}} \otimes \hat{x}^\alpha. \tag{2.7}$$

It is convenient to write (2.7) in the form

$$\triangle'(\hat{x}_\mu) = O_{\mu\alpha} \otimes \hat{x}^\alpha,$$

where

$$O_{\mu\alpha} = Z^{-1}\eta_{\mu\alpha} - a_\mu p_\alpha^{\mathrm{L}}. \tag{2.8}$$

Hence, elements

$$\hat{R}_\mu = \hat{x}_\mu \otimes 1 - O_{\mu\alpha} \otimes \hat{x}^\alpha \tag{2.9}$$

satisfy $m(\hat{R}_\mu\blacktriangleright(\hat{f}\otimes\hat{g})) = 0$ for all $\hat{f}, \hat{g} \in \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ where m denotes the multiplication ($m(\hat{f}\otimes\hat{g}) = \hat{f}\hat{g}$) and $(a\otimes b)\blacktriangleright(\hat{f}\otimes\hat{g}) = (a\blacktriangleright\hat{f})\otimes(b\blacktriangleright\hat{g})$. Then

$$\hat{\mathfrak{K}} = \mathcal{U}_+(\hat{R}_\mu)\hat{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{H}} \tag{2.10}$$

[1] In Section 3.1, the coproduct will be defined and (2.6) and (2.7) will be equal, since both choices of coproducts of $\hat{x}_\mu$ belong to the same congruence class.

is the right ideal in $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{H}}$. Here we used that $\mathcal{U}_+(\hat{R}_\mu)$ is the universal enveloping algebra generated by $\hat{R}_\mu$ but without the unit element.

It is important to emphasize that such derived coproduct is an algebra homomorphism

$$\triangle\big(\hat{h}_1\hat{h}_2\big) = \triangle\big(\hat{h}_1\big) \triangle \big(\hat{h}_2\big)$$

for any $\hat{h}_1, \hat{h}_2 \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}$ which enables us to define the formula for the coproduct for all elements of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$.

2.4 Dual basis

In [40] we have introduced the notion of the dual basis. Let us recall some basic facts since it will be used for the definition of the target map. We define elements

$$\hat{y}_\mu = \hat{x}^\alpha O^{-1}_{\mu\alpha}, \tag{2.11}$$

where

$$O^{-1}_{\mu\alpha} = \big(\eta_{\mu\alpha} + a_\mu p^{\mathrm{L}}_\alpha\big) Z \tag{2.12}$$

(it would be more precise to write $(O^{-1})_{\mu\alpha}$). They have some interesting properties. Since

$$\hat{x}_\mu = \hat{y}^\alpha O_{\mu\alpha}, \tag{2.13}$$

$\hat{y}_\mu$ and p_μ form a basis of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ (it would be more correct to say that power series in $\hat{y}_\mu$ and p_μ form a basis of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$). Elements $\hat{y}_\mu$ satisfy commutation relations similar to (2.1):

$$[\hat{y}_\mu, \hat{y}_\nu] = -i(a_\mu \hat{y}_\nu - a_\nu \hat{y}_\mu).$$

We call this basis the dual basis.

It is easy to check that $\hat{x}_\mu$ and $\hat{y}_\nu$ commute, i.e.

$$[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{y}_\nu] = 0. \tag{2.14}$$

Also, the straightforward calculation shows that $O_{\mu\nu}$ and $O_{\lambda\rho}$ commute. It remains to consider commutation relations among $O_{\mu\nu}$, $\hat{x}_\mu$ and $\hat{y}_\mu$. The definition of $O_{\mu\nu}$ yields $[O_{\mu\nu}, \hat{x}_\lambda] = i(a_\mu\eta_{\lambda\nu} - a_\lambda\eta_{\mu\nu})Z^{-1} = i(a_\mu O_{\lambda\nu} - a_\lambda O_{\mu\nu})$ and it shows that

$$[O_{\mu\nu}, \hat{x}_\lambda] = iC_{\mu\lambda}{}^\alpha O_{\alpha\nu}, \tag{2.15}$$

where $C_{\mu\lambda\alpha} = a_\mu\eta_{\lambda\alpha} - a_\lambda\eta_{\mu\alpha}$ stands for structure constants. One can easily obtain

$$\big[O^{-1}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{x}_\lambda\big] = i\big(-a_\mu\eta_{\lambda\nu} + a_\lambda O^{-1}_{\mu\nu}\big), \qquad [O_{\mu\nu}, \hat{y}_\lambda] = i(a_\mu\eta_{\lambda\nu} - a_\lambda O_{\mu\nu})$$

and

$$\big[O^{-1}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{y}_\lambda\big] = i\big(-a_\mu O^{-1}_{\lambda\nu} + a_\lambda O^{-1}_{\mu\nu}\big) = -iC_{\mu\lambda\alpha}\big(O^{-1}\big)^{\alpha\nu}.$$

The commutation relation $[O^{-1}_{\mu\nu}, \hat{x}_\lambda]$ can be also obtained from (2.15) multiplying by $O^{-1}_{\mu\alpha}$ and $O^{-1}_{\beta\nu}$ and using $a^\alpha O^{-1}_{\mu\alpha} = a_\mu$. Let us mention that elements $O_{\mu\nu}$ satisfy

$$O_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + C^\alpha_{\mu\nu} p^{\mathrm{L}}_\alpha. \tag{2.16}$$

One can easily check that $\hat{y}_\mu \blacktriangleright 1 = \hat{x}_\mu$. Using (2.14) and (2.16), it is easy to obtain that $\hat{y}_\mu \blacktriangleright \hat{x}_\nu = \hat{x}_\nu\hat{x}_\mu$ and

$$\hat{f}(\hat{y}) \blacktriangleright \hat{g}(\hat{x}) = \hat{g}(\hat{x})\hat{f}^{\mathrm{op}}(\hat{x}).$$

Here $\hat{f}^{\mathrm{op}}$ stands for the *opposite* polynomial ($(\hat{x}_\mu\hat{x}_\nu)^{\mathrm{op}} = \hat{x}_\nu\hat{x}_\mu$). Hence, the action $\blacktriangleright$ of $\hat{f}(\hat{y})$ can be understood as a multiplication from the right with $\hat{f}^{\mathrm{op}}(\hat{x})$. One can show that $\triangle(\hat{y}_\mu) = 1 \otimes \hat{y}_\mu$. Note that the same construction as for κ-Minkowski space (2.1) could be generalized to arbitrary Lie algebra defined by structure constants $C_{\mu\nu\lambda}$.

3 Hopf algebroid

3.1 Hopf algebroid structure of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$

We define the source map, target map, coproduct, counit and antipode such that $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ has the structure of the Hopf algebroid.

In Hopf algebroid, the unit map is replaced by the source and target maps. In our case $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is the total algebra and $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is the base algebra. The source map $\hat{\alpha}:\hat{\mathcal{A}}\to\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is defined by

$$\hat{\alpha}\big(\hat{f}(\hat{x})\big)=\hat{f}(\hat{x}).$$

The target map $\hat{\beta}:\hat{\mathcal{A}}\to\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is defined by

$$\hat{\beta}\big(\hat{f}(\hat{x})\big)=\hat{f}^{\mathrm{op}}(\hat{y}).$$

Let us recall that the source map is the homomorphism while the target map is the antihomomorphism. Relation (2.14) shows that

$$\hat{\alpha}\big(\hat{f}(\hat{x})\big)\hat{\beta}(\hat{g}(\hat{x}))=\hat{\beta}(\hat{g}(\hat{x}))\hat{\alpha}\big(\hat{f}(\hat{x})\big).$$

In order to define the coproduct on $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$, we consider the subspace $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}\otimes\hat{\mathcal{H}}$:

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}=\mathcal{U}\big(\hat{R}_\mu\big)\big(\hat{\mathcal{A}}\otimes\mathbb{C}\big)\triangle\mathcal{T},$$

where $\mathcal{U}(\hat{R}_\mu)$ denotes the universal enveloping algebra generated by $\hat{R}_\mu$ (see (3.1)). Here, $\triangle\mathcal{T}$ denotes the subalgebra of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}\otimes\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ generated by $1\otimes1$ and elements $\triangle(p_\mu)$. For example, we can consider p_μ^{L} and then $\triangle\mathcal{T}$ is generated by $1\otimes1$ and $p_\mu^{\mathrm{L}}\otimes Z^{-1}+1\otimes p_\mu^{\mathrm{L}}$. Since

$$\big[\hat{R}_\mu,\hat{R}_\nu\big]=i\big(a_\mu\hat{R}_\nu-a_\nu\hat{R}_\mu\big)=iC_{\mu\nu\alpha}\hat{R}_\alpha, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\big[\hat{x}_\mu\otimes1,\hat{R}_\nu\big]=i\big(a_\mu\hat{R}_\nu-a_\nu\hat{R}_\mu\big), \tag{3.2}$$

$$\big[O_{\mu\alpha}\otimes\hat{x}^\alpha,\hat{R}_\nu\big]=0, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\big[\triangle p_\mu^{\mathrm{L}},\hat{R}_\nu\big]=0$$

and

$$\big[\hat{x}_\mu\otimes1,p_\nu^{\mathrm{L}}\otimes Z^{-1}+1\otimes p_\nu^{\mathrm{L}}\big]=i\eta_{\mu\nu}Z^{-1}\otimes Z^{-1}\in\triangle\mathcal{T}, \tag{3.4}$$

$\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ is a subalgebra of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}\otimes\hat{\mathcal{H}}$. It is obvious that (3.3) is a consequence of (3.1) and (3.2) but we write it for completeness. Now, let us consider the subspace $\hat{\mathfrak{I}}$ of $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ defined by

$$\hat{\mathfrak{I}}=\mathcal{U}_+\big(\hat{R}_\mu\big)\big(\hat{\mathcal{A}}\otimes\mathbb{C}\big)\triangle\mathcal{T},$$

where $\mathcal{U}_+(\hat{R}_\mu)$ is the universal enveloping algebra generated by $\hat{R}_\mu$ but without the unit element. Using (3.1)–(3.4) one can check that $\hat{\mathfrak{I}}=\hat{\mathfrak{K}}\cap\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{I}}$ is the twosided ideal in $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$.

Remark. We could also define the subalgebra $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_3$ in $\hat{\mathcal{H}}\otimes\hat{\mathcal{H}}\otimes\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ by

$$\hat{\mathcal{B}}_3=\mathcal{U}\big[\big(\hat{R}_\mu\big)_{1,2},\big(\hat{R}_\mu\big)_{2,3}\big]\big(\hat{\mathcal{A}}\otimes\mathbb{C}\otimes\mathbb{C}\big)(\triangle\otimes1)(\triangle\mathcal{T}),$$

where $\mathcal{U}[(\hat{R}_\mu)_{1,2},(\hat{R}_\mu)_{2,3}]$ denotes the universal enveloping algebra generated by $1\otimes1\otimes1$, $(\hat{R}_\mu)_{1,2}=\hat{R}_\mu\otimes1$ and $(\hat{R}_\mu)_{2,3}=1\otimes\hat{R}_\mu$ and we have that $(\triangle\otimes1)(\triangle\mathcal{T})=(1\otimes\triangle)(\triangle\mathcal{T})$ since $\mathcal{T}$ is a Hopf algebra. Similarly, we can define $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_n$ and then $\hat{\mathcal{B}}$ would correspond to $\hat{\mathcal{B}}_2$. Also, $\hat{\mathfrak{K}}_n$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{I}}_n=\hat{\mathfrak{K}}_n\cap\hat{\mathcal{B}}_n$ can be defined. See [48] for the similar discussion.

Now, we define the coproduct $\triangle : \hat{\mathcal{H}} \to \hat{\mathcal{B}}/\hat{\mathfrak{J}} = \triangle\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} &\triangle(\hat{x}_\mu) = \hat{x}_\mu \otimes 1 + \hat{\mathfrak{J}} = Z^{-1} \otimes \hat{x}_\mu - a_\mu p^{\mathrm{L}}_\alpha \otimes \hat{x}_\alpha + \hat{\mathfrak{J}} = O_{\mu\alpha} \otimes \hat{x}_\alpha + \hat{\mathfrak{J}}, \\ &\triangle\big(p^{\mathrm{L}}_\mu\big) = p^{\mathrm{L}}_\mu \otimes Z^{-1} + 1 \otimes p^{\mathrm{L}}_\mu + \hat{\mathfrak{J}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Notice that $\hat{\mathcal{B}}/\hat{\mathfrak{J}}$ is the "restriction" of Lu's $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{H}}/\hat{\mathfrak{K}}$, or in other words an $(\hat{\mathcal{A}}, \hat{\mathcal{A}})$-submodule of $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \otimes \hat{\mathcal{H}}/\hat{\mathfrak{K}}$ that turns out to be an algebra, which, in turn, allows us to define $\triangle$ as an algebra homomorphism

$$\triangle\big(\hat{f}\hat{g}\big) = \triangle\big(\hat{f}\big)\,\triangle\,(\hat{g}).$$

The coproduct of $\hat{y}_\mu$ is given by $\triangle(\hat{y}_\mu) = 1 \otimes \hat{y}_\mu + \hat{\mathfrak{J}} = \hat{y}_\alpha \otimes O^{-1}_{\mu\alpha} + \hat{\mathfrak{J}}$. One can check that such defined coproduct is coassociative.

The counit $\hat{\epsilon} : \hat{\mathcal{H}} \to \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is defined by

$$\hat{\epsilon}\big(\hat{h}\big) = \hat{h}\blacktriangleright 1.$$

This map is not a homomorphism. It is easy to check that $m(\hat{\alpha}\hat{\epsilon}\otimes 1)\triangle = 1$ and $m(1\otimes\hat{\beta}\hat{\epsilon})\triangle = 1$. In order to check the first identity, we write elements of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ in the form $\hat{f}(\hat{x})g(p)$ and for the second identity in the form $\hat{f}(\hat{y})g(p)$.

The antipode $S : \hat{\mathcal{H}} \to \hat{\mathcal{H}}$ is defined by

$$S(\hat{y}_\mu) = \hat{x}_\mu \qquad \text{and} \qquad S\big(p^{\mathrm{L}}_\mu\big) = -p^{\mathrm{L}}_\mu Z.$$

The antipode $S(\hat{x}_\mu)$ can be calculated from (2.13). One obtains that

$$S(\hat{x}_\mu) = \hat{y}_\mu + ia_\mu(1-n). \tag{3.6}$$

It follows that $S^2(\hat{y}_\mu) = \hat{y}_\mu + ia_\mu(1-n)$ (and $S^2(\hat{x}_\mu) = \hat{x}_\mu + ia_\mu(1-n)$) and $S^2(p_\mu) = p_\mu$. Previous two formulas can be written also as $S^2(\hat{h}) = Z^{1-n}\hat{h}Z^{n-1}$. It is enough to check it for the elements $\hat{x}_\mu$ and p_μ since S^2 is a homomorphism. The expression of $S^2(\hat{x}_\mu)$ can be written in terms of structure constants:

$$S^2(\hat{x}_\mu) = \hat{x}_\mu + iC_{\alpha\mu}{}^{\alpha}. \tag{3.7}$$

A nice way to check the consistency of the antipode is to start with (2.13) and apply the antipode S (note that $S(O_{\mu\alpha}) = O^{-1}_{\mu\alpha}$):

$$S(\hat{x}_\mu) = O^{-1}_{\mu\alpha}\hat{x}^\alpha = O^{-1}_{\mu\alpha}\hat{y}_\beta O^{\alpha\beta}.$$

It produces

$$S^2(\hat{x}_\mu) = \big(O^{-1}\big)^{\alpha\beta}\hat{x}_\beta O_{\mu\alpha}.$$

It remains to apply expressions for $(O^{-1})^{\alpha\beta}$ and $O_{\mu\alpha}$ (see (2.12) and (2.8)), use the abbreviation $A^{\mathrm{L}} = -a^\alpha p^{\mathrm{L}}_\alpha = -(ap^{\mathrm{L}})$ and recall the identity $Z = (1-A^{\mathrm{L}})^{-1}$ (see [40]).

Let $\mathcal{P} \subset \hat{\mathcal{H}}$ be the enveloping algebra of the Poincaré algebra $\mathfrak{p}$. It is possible to define the Hopf algebra structure on the subalgebra $\mathcal{P}$ [40]. It is interesting to note that the coproduct and the antipode map defined above on $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ and restricted to $\mathcal{P}$ coincides with the coproduct and the antipode map on the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{P}$ [33]. For more details see Section 5.

It is easy to check that

$$S\hat{\beta} = \hat{\alpha}, \qquad m(1\otimes S)\triangle = \hat{\alpha}\hat{\epsilon}, \qquad m(S\otimes 1)\triangle = \hat{\beta}\hat{\epsilon}S. \tag{3.8}$$

The first identity is obvious, the second one can be easily checked for the base elements and the third identity can be easily checked using the dual basis.

In [48], Lu analyzes the right ideal $\hat{\mathfrak{K}}$ generated by $\hat{Q}_\mu = \hat{y}_\mu \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \hat{x}_\mu$ (right ideal $\hat{\mathfrak{K}}$ is denoted by I_2 in [48]). These elements are equal to $\hat{R}_\alpha((O^{-1})^{\mu\alpha} \otimes 1)$. It is important to mention that the identity $m(1 \otimes S)\triangle = \hat{\alpha}\hat{\epsilon}$ is not satisfied in [48], because $m(1 \otimes S)\hat{\mathfrak{K}} \neq 0$ and this is why the section γ is needed. In our approach, since we have $\triangle : \hat{\mathcal{H}} \to \hat{\mathcal{B}}/\hat{\mathfrak{J}} = \triangle\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ and

$$m(1 \otimes S)\hat{\mathfrak{J}} = 0,$$

it is easy to see that (3.8) holds $\forall\, h \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}$.

Let us point out that $[\hat{R}_\mu, \hat{Q}_\nu] = 0$ and $[\hat{Q}_\mu, \hat{Q}_\nu] = i(-a_\mu\hat{Q}_\nu + a_\nu\hat{Q}_\mu)$. Also, it is easy to check that $[\hat{Q}_\mu, \triangle p_\nu^{\mathrm{L}}] = 0$ and $[\hat{Q}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu \otimes 1] = 0$.

3.2 Hopf algebroid structure of $\mathcal{H}$

Now, let us consider the case when the deformation vector a_μ is equal to 0. Then (2.1) transforms to

$$[\hat{x}_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = 0,$$

the algebra $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ becomes the Weyl algebra which we denote by $\mathcal{H}$ and write x_μ instead of $\hat{x}_\mu$. We have already mentioned that it is not possible to construct the Hopf algebra structure on $\mathcal{H}$. Let us repeat the Hopf algebroid structure on $\mathcal{H}$ and set the terminology.

Now, $\varphi_{\mu\nu} = O_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$, $Z = 1$ and $\hat{y}_\mu = x_\mu$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ (the base algebra) be the subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}$ generated by 1 and x_μ. We define the action $\triangleright$ of $\mathcal{H}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ in the same way as we did it in Section 2.2: $f(x) \triangleright g(x) = f(x)g(x)$, $p_\mu \triangleright 1 = 0$ and $p_\mu \triangleright g(x) = [p_\mu, g(x)] \triangleright 1 = p_\mu g(x) \triangleright 1$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ can be considered as an $\mathcal{H}$-module. It is clear that the action $\blacktriangleright$ transforms to the action $\triangleright$ when the vector a is equal to 0.

The source and the target map are now equal $\alpha_0 = \beta_0$ and $\alpha_0; \beta_0 : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{H}$ reduces to the natural inclusion.

The counit $\epsilon_0 : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{A}$ is defined by

$$\epsilon_0(h) = h \triangleright 1.$$

In order to define the coproduct, let us define relations $(R_0)_\mu$ by

$$(R_0)_\mu = x_\mu \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_\mu.$$

Let $\mathcal{U}[(R_0)_\mu]$ be the universal enveloping algebra generated by $1 \otimes 1$ and $(R_0)_\mu$, $\mathcal{U}_+[(R_0)_\mu]$ be the universal enveloping algebra generated by $(R_0)_\mu$ but without the unit element, and $\triangle_0\mathcal{T}$ be the algebra generated by $1 \otimes 1$ and $p_\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes p_\mu$. Note that $\mathcal{T}$ is isomorphic to $\triangle_0\mathcal{T}$. Now, we define $\mathcal{B}_0$, the subalgebra of $\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ of the form

$$\mathcal{B}_0 = \mathcal{U}[(R_0)_\mu](\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \triangle_0 \mathcal{T}$$

and twosided ideal $\mathfrak{I}_0$ of $\mathcal{B}_0$ by

$$\mathfrak{I}_0 = \mathcal{U}_+[(R_0)_\mu](\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathbb{C}) \triangle_0 \mathcal{T}.$$

The coproduct $\triangle_0 : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{B}_0/\mathfrak{I}_0 = \triangle_0\mathcal{H}$ is a homomorphism defined by

$$\triangle_0(x_\mu) = x_\mu \otimes 1 + \mathfrak{I}_0, \qquad \triangle_0(p_\mu) = p_\mu \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes p_\mu + \mathfrak{I}_0.$$

One checks that the coproduct $\triangle_0$ and the counit ϵ_0 satisfy $m(\alpha_0\epsilon_0 \otimes 1)\triangle_0 = 1$ and $m(1 \otimes \beta_0\epsilon_0)\triangle_0 = 1$.

The antipode $S_0 : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ transforms to

$$S_0(x_\mu) = x_\mu, \qquad S_0(p_\mu) = -p_\mu. \tag{3.9}$$

It is easy to check that

$$m(1 \otimes S_0)\triangle_0 = \alpha_0\epsilon_0, \qquad m(S_0 \otimes 1)\triangle_0 = \beta_0\epsilon_0 S_0. \tag{3.10}$$

Similarly as in the deformed case, the expression $m(1\otimes S_0)\triangle_0$ is not well defined in [48], because $m(1 \otimes S_0)\mathcal{K}_0 \neq 0$ and this is why the section γ is needed. In our approach, since

$$m(1 \otimes S_0)\mathfrak{I}_0 = 0$$

holds, one can check (3.10) $\forall\, h \in \mathcal{H}$.

4 Twisting Hopf algebroid structure

4.1 Realizations

The phase space satisfying (2.1) and (2.2) can be analyzed by realizations (see [40, 42, 56]). In Section 3.2, we have analyzed the Weyl algebra $\mathcal{H}$ generated by p_μ and commutative coordinates x_μ satisfying

$$[p_\mu, x_\nu] = -i\eta_{\mu\nu}1.$$

Then, the noncommutative coordinates $\hat{x}_\mu$ are expressed in the form

$$\hat{x}_\mu = x^\alpha \varphi_{\alpha\mu}(p) \tag{4.1}$$

such that (2.1) and (2.2) are satisfied. It is important to observe that the space $\mathcal{H}$ is isomorphic to $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ as an algebra. Hence, we set $\hat{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}$ and treat sets $\{x_\mu, p_\nu\}$ and $\{\hat{x}_\mu, p_\nu\}$ as different bases of the same algebra. However, we will use both symbols, $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ and $\mathcal{H}$ in order to emphasize the basis. The action $\triangleright$, defined in Section 3.2 corresponds to $\mathcal{H}$. However, $\mathcal{H}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$, considered as Hopf algebroids are different.

The restriction of the counit $\epsilon_0|_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}$, introduced in Section 3.2, defines the bijection of vector spaces $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{A}$. By the abuse of notation, we denote it by ϵ_0 or $\triangleright$. Let us mention that the inverse map is simply $\hat{\epsilon}|_{\mathcal{A}}$. Then, the star product $\star$ on $\mathcal{A}$ is defined by $(f\star g)(x) = \hat{f}(\hat{x})\hat{g}(\hat{x})\triangleright 1 = \hat{f}(\hat{x})\triangleright g(x)$ where $f = \hat{f}\triangleright 1$ and $g = \hat{g}\triangleright 1$. The algebra $\mathcal{A}$ equipped with the star product instead of pointwise multiplication will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}_\star$ and the map $\epsilon_0 : \hat{\mathcal{A}} \to \mathcal{A}_\star$ is an isomorphism of algebras.

It is possible to construct the dual realization $\tilde{\varphi}_{\mu\nu}$ and the dual star product $\star_{\tilde{\varphi}}$ such that

$$(f \star_\varphi g)(x) = (g \star_{\tilde{\varphi}} f)(x)$$

is satisfied (see [40, Section 5]). Now, elements $\hat{y}_\mu$ are given by

$$\hat{y}_\mu = x^\alpha \tilde{\varphi}_{\alpha\mu}(p).$$

It is easy to check the following properties:

$$\hat{x}_\mu \triangleright f(x) = x_\mu \star_\varphi f(x) = f(x) \star_{\tilde{\varphi}} x_\mu$$

and

$$\hat{y}_\mu \triangleright f(x) = x_\mu \star_{\tilde{\varphi}} f(x) = f(x) \star_\varphi x_\mu.$$

4.1.1 Similarity transformations

The relation between realizations is given by the similarity transformations [34]. Let us consider two realizations. The first one is denoted by x_μ and p_μ and given by the set of functions $\{\varphi_{\mu\nu}\}$ (and (2.2) or (4.1)). The second realization is denoted by X_μ, P_μ and $\Phi_{\mu\nu}$ ($\hat{x}_\mu = X^\alpha \Phi_{\alpha\mu}(P)$). The similarity transformation $\mathcal{E}$ is given by $\mathcal{E} = \exp\{x^\alpha \Sigma_\alpha(p)\}$ such that $\lim\limits_{a\to 0} \Sigma_\alpha = 0$. Now, the relation between realizations is given by

$$P_\mu = \mathcal{E} p_\mu \mathcal{E}^{-1}, \qquad X_\mu = \mathcal{E} x_\mu \mathcal{E}^{-1}.$$

It is easy to see that $P_\mu = P_\mu(p)$. Since $[P_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = -i\Phi_{\mu\nu}(P)$,

$$\frac{\partial P_\mu}{\partial p_\alpha}\varphi_{\alpha\nu} = \Phi_{\mu\nu}(P(p)) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \varphi_{\alpha\nu} = \left[\frac{\partial P}{\partial p}\right]^{-1}_{\alpha\mu} \Phi_{\mu\nu}(P(p)).$$

It follows that the set of functions $\varphi_{\mu\nu}$ can be obtained from the set of functions $\Phi_{\mu\nu}$ and the expressions of P in terms of p. Since $O_{\mu\nu} = O_{\mu\nu}(P(p))$, it is easy to express $O_{\mu\nu}$ in the realization determined by x_μ and p_μ.

4.1.2 Examples

Let us consider three examples of realizations. The *noncovariant* λ*-family* of realizations is given by

$$\hat{x}_0 = x_0^{(\lambda)} - a_0\,(1-\lambda)\, x_k^{(\lambda)} p_k^{(\lambda)}, \qquad \hat{x}_k = x_k^{(\lambda)} Z^{-\lambda}, \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\hat{y}_0 = \hat{x}_0 Z - i a_0 + a_0 \big(\hat{x} p^{\mathrm{L}}\big) Z, \qquad \hat{y}_j = \hat{x}_j Z, \tag{4.3}$$

where $Z = e^{A^{(\lambda)}}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. For this family we assume that $a = (a_0, 0, \ldots, 0)$. Here, (λ) denotes the label. Generic realizations are denoted without the label. It is easy to obtain $p_0^{\mathrm{L}} = \frac{1}{a_0}(1 - Z^{-1})$ and $p_k^{\mathrm{L}} = p_k^{(\lambda)} Z^{\lambda-1}$. Now, one calculates $O_{\mu\nu}$ (see (2.8)) in terms of $p_\mu^{(\lambda)}$: $O_{k\nu} = Z^{-1}\eta_{k\nu}$, $O_{00} = -1$ and

$$O_{0k} = Z^{-1}\eta_{0k} - a_0 p_k^{\mathrm{L}} = \big(\eta_{0k} - a_0 p_k^{(\lambda)} Z^{\lambda}\big) Z^{-1}.$$

The *left covariant* realization is defined by

$$\hat{x}_\mu = x_\mu^{\mathrm{L}}\big(1 - A^{\mathrm{L}}\big),$$

where $Z = (1 - A^{\mathrm{L}})^{-1}$. The element p^{L} that we have mentioned in Section 2.2 corresponds to the *left covariant* realization. It is easy to obtain that

$$\hat{y}_\mu = x_\mu^{\mathrm{L}} + a_\mu\big(x^{\mathrm{L}} p^{\mathrm{L}}\big)$$

(see (2.11) for the definition of $\hat{y}_\mu$).

The *right covariant* realization is defined by

$$\hat{x}_\mu = x_\mu^{\mathrm{R}} - a_\mu\big(x^{\mathrm{R}} p^{\mathrm{R}}\big),$$

where $Z = 1 + A^{\mathrm{R}}$. The relation between p_μ^{L} and p_μ^{R} is given by $p_\mu^{\mathrm{R}} = p_\mu^{\mathrm{L}} Z$. Now,

$$\hat{y}_\mu = x_\mu^{\mathrm{R}}\big(1 + A^{\mathrm{R}}\big).$$

Also, it easy to calculate $O_{\mu\nu}$ in terms of p_μ^{R}:

$$O_{\mu\nu} = Z^{-1}\eta_{\mu\nu} - a_\mu p_\nu^{\mathrm{L}} = \big(\eta_{\mu\nu} - a_\mu p_\nu^{\mathrm{R}}\big) Z^{-1}.$$

One should notice the duality between the *left covariant* and the *right covariant* realizations.

4.2 Twist and Hopf algebroid

For each realization, there is the corresponding twist and vice versa [34]. The relation between the star product and twist is given by

$$f \star g = m\big(\mathcal{F}^{-1} \triangleright (f \otimes g)\big)$$

for $f, g \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows that $\mathcal{F}^{-1} \in \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{H}/\mathfrak{K}_0$. Now, we will use twists to reconstruct the Hopf algebroid structure described in Section 3.1, from the Hopf algebroid structure analyzed in Section 3.2. That is we will show that by twisting the Hopf algebroid structure of $\mathcal{H}$ one can obtain the Hopf algebroid structure of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$. Hence, we will consider twists $\mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathcal{F} : \triangle_0\mathcal{H} \to \triangle\mathcal{H}$. Here $\mathfrak{I} \cong \hat{\mathfrak{I}}$ and $\triangle\mathcal{H} \cong \triangle\hat{\mathcal{H}}$. More precisely, $\mathfrak{I}$ is the twosided ideal generated by elements R_μ which are defined by

$$R_\mu = \mathcal{F}(R_0)_\mu \mathcal{F}^{-1}.$$

Let us mention that the relation between $\hat{R}_\mu$ and R_μ is given by

$$\hat{R}_\mu = R^\alpha \triangle (\varphi_{\alpha\mu}).$$

Also, it is easy to rebuild the realization from the twist. For the given twist $\mathcal{F}$, the corresponding realization is obtained by

$$\hat{x}_\mu = m\big(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\triangleright \otimes 1)(x_\mu \otimes 1)\big).$$

Similarly,

$$\hat{y}_\mu = m\big(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(\triangleright \otimes 1)(x_\mu \otimes 1)\big),$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}$ is given by $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{-1} = \tau_0\mathcal{F}^{-1}\tau_0$ (τ_0 stands for the flip operator with the property $\tau_0(h_1 \otimes h_2) = h_2 \otimes h_1, \forall\, h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}$).

The *noncovariant λ-family* of realizations have twists of the form

$$\mathcal{F}^{(\lambda)} = \exp\big(i\big(\lambda x_k^{(\lambda)} p_k^{(\lambda)} \otimes A^{(\lambda)} - (1-\lambda) A^{(\lambda)} \otimes x_k^{(\lambda)} p_k^{(\lambda)}\big)\big). \tag{4.4}$$

These twists belong to the family of Abelian twists (see [24]). The *left covariant* and the *right covariant* realizations, respectively, have twists of the form

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{L}} = \exp\big(i\big(x^{\mathrm{L}} p^{\mathrm{L}}\big) \otimes \ln Z\big) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{R}} = \exp\big(-\ln Z \otimes i\big(x^{\mathrm{R}} p^{\mathrm{R}}\big)\big).$$

These two twists belong to the family of Jordanian twists (see [13]).

Let us reconstruct the source and the target maps from the twist. First, we define α and β, $\alpha : \mathcal{A}_\star \to \mathcal{U}(\hat{x}_\mu) \subset \mathcal{H}$, $\beta : \mathcal{A}_\star \to \mathcal{U}(\hat{y}_\mu) \subset \mathcal{H}$ by

$$\alpha(f(x)) = m\big(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\triangleright \otimes 1)(\alpha_0(f(x)) \otimes 1)\big), \qquad \alpha_0(f(x)) = f(x),$$

and

$$\beta(f(x)) = m\big(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}^{-1}(\triangleright \otimes 1)(\beta_0(f(x)) \otimes 1)\big), \qquad \beta_0(f(x)) = f(x).$$

Now, the source and the target maps are given by

$$\hat{\alpha} = \alpha\epsilon_0|_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \hat{\beta} = \beta\epsilon_0|_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}}.$$

The counit $\hat{\epsilon} : \mathcal{H} \to \hat{\mathcal{A}}$ is given by

$$\hat{\epsilon}(h) = m\big(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\triangleright \otimes 1)(\epsilon_0(h) \otimes 1)\big).$$

The coproduct can be calculated by the formula:

$$\triangle(h) = \mathcal{F}(\triangle_0(h))\mathcal{F}^{-1}.$$

For the *noncovariant* λ*-family* of realizations

$$\triangle\big(x_j^{(\lambda)}\big) = x_j^{(\lambda)} \otimes Z^{\lambda} = Z^{\lambda-1} \otimes x_j^{(\lambda)}, \tag{4.5}$$

$$\triangle\big(x_0^{(\lambda)}\big) = x_0^{(\lambda)} \otimes 1 + a_0(1-\lambda) \otimes x_k^{(\lambda)} p_k^{(\lambda)} = 1 \otimes x_0^{(\lambda)} - a_0 \lambda x_k^{(\lambda)} p_k^{(\lambda)} \otimes 1, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\triangle\big(p_j^{(\lambda)}\big) = p_j^{(\lambda)} \otimes Z^{-\lambda} + Z^{1-\lambda} \otimes p_j^{(\lambda)}, \tag{4.7}$$

and

$$\triangle\big(p_0^{(\lambda)}\big) = p_0^{(\lambda)} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes p_0^{(\lambda)}. \tag{4.8}$$

It is a nice exercise to express $\hat{x}_\mu$ in terms of $x_\alpha^{(\lambda)}$ and $p_\alpha^{(\lambda)}$ (see (4.2)), use (4.5)–(4.8) and obtain (3.5).

Similarly,

$$\triangle\big(x_\mu^{\mathrm{L}}\big) = x_\mu^{\mathrm{L}} \otimes Z = 1 \otimes \big(x_\mu^{\mathrm{L}} + i a_\mu Z\big) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \triangle\left(p_\mu^{\mathrm{L}}\right) = p_\mu^{\mathrm{L}} \otimes Z^{-1} + 1 \otimes p_\mu^{\mathrm{L}}$$

for the *left covariant* realization and

$$\triangle\big(x_\mu^{\mathrm{R}}\big) = \big(x_\mu^{\mathrm{R}} - i a_\mu Z\big) \otimes 1 = Z^{-1} \otimes x_\mu^{\mathrm{R}} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \triangle\left(p_\mu^{\mathrm{R}}\right) = p_\mu^{\mathrm{R}} \otimes 1 + Z \otimes p_\mu^{\mathrm{R}}$$

for the *right covariant* realization.

It remains to consider the antipode. Let

$$\chi^{-1} = m(S_0 \otimes 1)\mathcal{F}^{-1},$$

then

$$S(h) = \chi(S_0(h))\chi^{-1} \tag{4.9}$$

where S_0 denotes the undeformed antipode map defined by (3.9) ($S_0(x_\mu) = x_\mu$ and $S_0(p_\mu) = -p_\mu$). For the similar approach regarding Hopf algebras, see [7, 6].

For the *noncovariant* λ*-family* of realizations, χ has the form

$$\chi^{(\lambda)} = \exp\left(i(1-2\lambda)A^{(\lambda)} x_k^{(\lambda)} p_k^{(\lambda)} + \lambda(1-n)A^{(\lambda)}\right).$$

Then

$$S\big(p_j^{(\lambda)}\big) = -p_j^{(\lambda)} Z^{2\lambda-1}, \tag{4.10}$$

$$S\big(p_0^{(\lambda)}\big) = -p_0^{(\lambda)}, \tag{4.11}$$

$$S\big(x_j^{(\lambda)}\big) = x_j^{(\lambda)} Z^{1-2\lambda}, \tag{4.12}$$

$$S\big(x_0^{(\lambda)}\big) = x_0^{(\lambda)} - (1-2\lambda)a_0 x_k^{(\lambda)} p_k^{(\lambda)} + \lambda i a_0(1-n). \tag{4.13}$$

Again, it is an exercise to express $\hat{x}_\mu$ in terms of $x_\alpha^{(\lambda)}$ and $p_\alpha^{(\lambda)}$ (see (4.2)), use (4.10)–(4.13) and obtain (3.6). The antipode is given by

$$S(\hat{x}_j) = \hat{x}_j Z \tag{4.14}$$

and

$$S(\hat{x}_0) = \hat{x}_0 + a_0 x_k^{(\lambda)} p_k^{(\lambda)} + i a_0 (1-n). \tag{4.15}$$

Let us recall that for the *noncovariant* λ-*family* of realizations we set $a_\mu = (a_0, 0, ..., 0)$. Now, one can compare (4.14) and (4.15) with (3.6). The formula for the antipode of $\hat{x}_\mu$ can be also obtained from the formula $S(\hat{y}_\mu) = \hat{x}_\mu$, formulas for the realization of $\hat{x}_\mu$ and $\hat{y}_\mu$, (4.2) and (4.3) and formulas for $S(p_\mu)$. For all examples, it is easy to check that $S(\hat{y}_\mu) = \chi(S_0(\hat{y}_\mu))\chi^{-1} = \hat{x}_\mu$.

For the *left covariant* realization

$$\left(\chi^{\mathrm{L}}\right)^{-1} = \exp\left(i\left(p^{\mathrm{L}} x^{\mathrm{L}}\right) A^{\mathrm{L}}\right).$$

For the *right covariant* realization

$$\left(\chi^{\mathrm{R}}\right)^{-1} = \exp\left(-iA^{\mathrm{R}}\left(x^{\mathrm{R}} p^{\mathrm{R}}\right)\right).$$

There is a natural question if the antipode map on the Hopf algebroid $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ defined by (4.9) and the antipode map defined on the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{igl}(n))$ coincide (see [38] for the formulas of the antipode). They coincide for $h \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}$ for which $\alpha\epsilon(h) = \beta\epsilon S_0(h)$. For elements h for which $\alpha\epsilon(h) \neq \beta\epsilon S_0(h)$, the antipode maps do not coincide. For example, $S_0(x_j p_j) = -x_j p_j + i$ in the Hopf algebroid, while $S_0(x_j p_j) = -x_j p_j$ in the Hopf algebra (here no summation is assumed). See also [33].

Using (4.9), it is easy to obtain the expression for S^{-1}:

$$S^{-1}(h) = S_0(\chi) S_0(h) S_0\left(\chi^{-1}\right).$$

One can show that $S_0(\chi) = Z^{n-1}\chi$. Then $S^{-1}(h) = Z^{n-1} S(h) Z^{1-n}$ and $S^2(h) = Z^{1-n} h Z^{n-1}$. For example, $S^2(p_\mu) = p_\mu$, $S^2(\hat{x}_\mu) = \hat{x}_\mu + i a_\mu (1-n)$ and $S^2(\hat{y}_\mu) = \hat{y}_\mu + i a_\mu (1-n)$. This coincides with results in Section 3 (see (3.6) and (3.7)).

5 κ-Poincaré Hopf algebra from κ-deformed phase space and twists

Let us consider the κ-Poincaré Hopf algebra in *natural* realization [54, 55, 56] (or classical basis [15, 44]). We start with the undeformed Poincaré algebra generated by Lorentz generators $M_{\mu\nu}$ and translation generators (momentum) P_μ

$$\begin{aligned}
&[M_{\mu\nu}, M_{\lambda\rho}] = \eta_{\nu\lambda} M_{\mu\rho} - \eta_{\mu\lambda} M_{\nu\rho} - \eta_{\nu\rho} M_{\mu\lambda} + \eta_{\mu\rho} M_{\nu\lambda},\\
&[P_\mu, P_\nu] = 0, \qquad [M_{\mu\nu}, P_\lambda] = \eta_{\nu\lambda} P_\mu - \eta_{\mu\lambda} P_\nu.
\end{aligned}$$

The corresponding κ-deformed Poincaré–Hopf algebra can be written in a unified covariant way [25, 35, 40, 54, 56]. The coproduct $\triangle$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\triangle P_\mu = P_\mu \otimes Z^{-1} + 1 \otimes P_\mu - a_\mu p_\alpha^{\mathrm{L}} Z \otimes P^\alpha,\\
&\triangle M_{\mu\nu} = M_{\mu\nu} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes M_{\mu\nu} - a_\mu \left(p^{\mathrm{L}}\right)^\alpha Z \otimes M_{\alpha\nu} + a_\nu \left(p^{\mathrm{L}}\right)^\alpha Z \otimes M_{\alpha\mu},
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

as well as the antipode S and counit ϵ

$$\begin{aligned}
&S(P_\mu) = \left(-P_\mu - a_\mu p_\alpha^{\mathrm{L}} P^\alpha\right) Z,\\
&S(M_{\mu\nu}) = -M_{\mu\nu} - a_\mu \left(p^{\mathrm{L}}\right)^\alpha M_{\alpha\nu} + a_\nu \left(p^{\mathrm{L}}\right)^\alpha M_{\alpha\mu},\\
&\epsilon(P_\mu) = \epsilon(M_{\mu\nu}) = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

where the momentum P_μ is related to $p_\mu^{\rm L}$ via $P_\mu = p_\mu^{\rm L} - \frac{a_\mu}{2}(p^{\rm L})^2 Z$. The above Hopf algebra structure unifies all three types of deformations a_μ, i.e. time-like ($a^2 < 0$), space-like ($a^2 > 0$) and light-like ($a^2 = 0$).

Using the action $\blacktriangleright$ and coproduct $\triangle$ we can get the whole algebra $\{\hat{x}_\mu, M_{\mu\nu}, P_\mu\}$ (for details see [32, 33])

$$
\begin{aligned}
&[M_{\mu\nu}, \hat{x}_\lambda] = \eta_{\nu\lambda}\hat{x}_\mu - \eta_{\mu\lambda}\hat{x}_\nu - ia_\mu M_{\nu\lambda} + ia_\nu M_{\mu\lambda},\\
&[P_\mu, \hat{x}_\nu] = -i\big(\eta_{\mu\nu}Z^{-1} - a_\mu P_\nu\big),
\end{aligned}
\tag{5.3}
$$

where $Z^{-1} = (aP) + \sqrt{1 + a^2P^2}$ and from (5.3) it follows that the NC coordinates $\hat{x}_\mu$ can be written in terms of canonical X_α and P_α ($[X_\alpha, X_\beta] = 0$, $[P_\mu, X_\nu] = -i\eta_{\mu\nu}1$) via $\hat{x}_\mu = X_\mu Z^{-1} - (aX)P_\mu$ and satisfies (2.1).

Now we will discuss the realization of κ-Poincaré–Hopf algebra via phase space $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ and discuss the issue of the twist in the Hopf algebroid approach. Realization of $M_{\mu\nu}$ in terms of canonical X_α and P_α is given by $M_{\mu\nu} = i(X_\mu P_\nu - X_\nu P_\mu)$ which for κ-deformed phase space variables $\hat{x}_\mu$, P_μ reads

$$
M_{\mu\nu} = i(\hat{x}_\mu P_\nu - \hat{x}_\nu P_\mu)Z \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}.
$$

This is a unique realization in $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ (see [54]). Using $\triangle P_\mu$ (5.1), $\triangle \hat{x}_\mu$ (3.5), $\triangle Z = Z \otimes Z$ and relations $\hat{R}_\mu$ (2.9) we obtain coproduct $\triangle M_{\mu\nu}$ as in Hopf algebra (5.1). Note that the result for $\triangle M_{\mu\nu}$ is unique in the κ-Poincaré–Hopf algebra $\mathcal{U}_\kappa(\mathcal{P})$ since $\mathcal{U}_\kappa(\mathcal{P}) \otimes \mathcal{U}_\kappa(\mathcal{P}) \cap \hat{\mathfrak{K}} = 0$ (which is obvious). Similarly we find $S(M_{\mu\nu})$ within Hopf algebroid which coincides with $S(M_{\mu\nu})$ in Hopf algebra (5.2) (for details see [33, 57]).

There is a question whether $\triangle P_\mu$ and $\triangle M_{\mu\nu}$ could be obtained from twist $\mathcal{F}$ expressed in terms of Poincaré generators only.

1. For a_μ light-like, $a^2 = 0$, such cocycle twist within Hopf algebra approach exists [35]

$$
\mathcal{F} = \exp\left\{a^\alpha P^\beta \frac{\ln[1 + (aP)]}{(aP)} \otimes M_{\alpha\beta}\right\}. \tag{5.4}
$$

   The cocycle condition for twist $\mathcal{F}$ (5.4) can be checked using the results by Kulish et al. [45] in the Hopf algebra setting (see also [16]).

2. For a_μ time- and space-like such twist does not exist within Hopf algebra. Namely, starting from $\triangle P_\mu = \mathcal{F} \triangle_0 P_\mu \mathcal{F}^{-1}$ and $\triangle M_{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{F} \triangle_0 M_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{F}^{-1}$ one can construct an operator $\mathcal{F} = \mathrm{e}^f$, where $f = f_1 + f_2 + \cdots$ is expanded in a_μ and expressed in terms of Poincaré generators and dilatation only. In the first order we found that the result is not unique, namely we have a one parameter family of solutions

$$
f_1 = a^\alpha P^\beta \otimes M_{\alpha\beta} + u\big(M_{\alpha\beta} \otimes a^\alpha P^\beta - a^\alpha P^\beta \otimes M_{\alpha\beta} - D \otimes (aP) + (aP) \otimes D\big),
$$

   where $u \in \mathbb{R}$ is a free parameter. However there is one solution ($u = 0$) that can be expressed in terms of Poincaré generators only. Also up to first order in a_μ cocycle condition is satisfied and one obtains the correct classical r-matrix (see equation (65) in [25]). In the second order for f_2 we found a two parameter family of solutions. Here there is no solution without including dilatation, that is the operator $\mathcal{F}$ can not be expressed in terms of Poincaré generators only. We have checked that the corresponding quantum R-matrix obtained using f_1 and f_2 is correct up to the second order. The cocycle condition is no longer satisfied in the Hopf algebra approach, that is $\mathcal{F}$ is not a twist in the Drinfeld sense. However, after using tensor exchange identities [33, 34, 57] the cocycle condition is satisfied and $\mathcal{F}$ is a twist in Hopf algebroid approach. It also reproduces the κ-Poincaré–Hopf

algebra (when applied to Poincaré generators) (see [34]). In [34], we have developed a general method for calculating operator $\mathcal{F}$ for a given coproducts of x_μ and p_μ. In Section 3 of [34] the operator $\mathcal{F}$ is constructed up to the third order for *natural* realization (classical basis) and it is shown that this operator $\mathcal{F}$ gives the correct coproduct for $M_{\mu\nu}$ (see equation (59) in [34]) and R-matrix (see equation (61) in [34]). We also stated that this operator $\mathcal{F}$ can not be expressed in terms of κ-Poincaré generators only (see [34, p. 16]). From the results for f_1, f_2 and f_3 (see equations (42), (46), (49) in [34]) one can show that they could be rewritten in terms of Poincaré generators and dilatation only (after using tensor exchange identities). For alternative arguments on nonexistence of cocycle twist for κ-Poincaré–Hopf algebra see [12, 14].

The main point that we want to emphasize is that the twist operator exists within Hopf algebroid approach, that the cocycle condition is satisfied [33, 34, 57] and that this twist gives the full κ-Poincaré–Hopf algebra (when applied to the generators of Poincaré algebra).

General statements on associativity of star product, twist and cocycle condition in Hopf algebroid approach are:

1. Lorentz generators $M_{\mu\nu}$ can be written in terms of $x^{(\lambda)}$ and $p^{(\lambda)}$ (4.5)–(4.8). This defines the family of basis labeled by λ. The momenta $p^{(\lambda)}$ do not transform as vectors under $M_{\mu\nu}$. The star product is associative for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. The corresponding twist $\mathcal{F}^{(\lambda)}$ given in (4.4) is Abelian and satisfies the cocycle condition for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Applying $\mathcal{F}^{(\lambda)}$ to primitive coproduct $\triangle_0 M_{\mu\nu}$ leads to κ-deformed $\mathfrak{igl}(n)$ Hopf algebra (see [17, 25, 32, 38, 41]). However, if we apply conjugation by $\mathcal{F}^{(\lambda)}$ to $\triangle_0^{(\lambda)} M_{\mu\nu}^{(\lambda)}$ (which is not primitive coproduct) we obtain, in the Hopf algebroid approach [57], the correct coproduct $\triangle^{(\lambda)} M_{\mu\nu}^{(\lambda)}$ corresponding to λ basis (for $\lambda = 0$ see [33]). Similarly for the antipode S. Hence, the κ-Poincaré–Hopf algebra can be obtained by twist $\mathcal{F}$ in the more generalized sense, i.e. in the Hopf algebroid approach.
2. If star product is associative in one base, then it is associative in any other base obtained by similarity transformations [34].
3. If star product is associative, then the corresponding twist $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies cocycle condition in the Hopf algebroid approach, and vice versa. Note that, there exist star products which are associative but the corresponding twist operator $\mathcal{F}$ does not satisfy the cocycle condition in the Hopf algebra approach.

6 Final remarks

It is important to note that the work presented in this paper is not genuinely different from Lu's construction of Hopf algebroid [48] and that we use a particular choice of the algebra which makes it easier to construct the coproduct as an algebra homomorphism to the subalgebra $\hat{\mathcal{B}}/\hat{\mathfrak{J}}$. By this particular choice of algebra we are able to satisfy

$$m(1 \otimes S)\triangle = \hat{\alpha}\hat{\epsilon}, \qquad m(S \otimes 1)\triangle = \hat{\beta}\hat{\epsilon}S,$$

while in [48] $m(1 \otimes S)\triangle$ is not well defined (for the version of coproduct in [48]) because $m(1 \otimes S)\hat{\mathfrak{K}} \neq 0$, while in our case $m(1 \otimes S)\hat{\mathfrak{J}} = 0$. Therefore we do not need the section γ in the first identity for the antipode. In our approach, since we have $\triangle : \hat{\mathcal{H}} \to \hat{\mathcal{B}}/\hat{\mathfrak{J}} = \triangle\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ and

$$m(1 \otimes S)\hat{\mathfrak{J}} = 0,$$

it is easy to see that (3.8) holds $\forall\, h \in \hat{\mathcal{H}}$. We are doing this in order to explain the structure of quantum phase space, i.e. Weyl algebra $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$.

An axiomatic treatment of the Hopf algebroid structure on general Lie algebra type noncommutative phase spaces, involving completed tensor products, has recently been proposed in [59].

The construction of QFT suitable for κ-Minkowski spacetime is still under active research [19, 39, 55]. We plan to apply κ-deformed phase space, Hopf algebroid approach and twisting to NCQFT and NC (quantum) gravity.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank A. Borowiec, J. Lukierski, A. Pachol, R. Štrajn and Z. Škoda for useful discussions and comments. The authors would also like to thank the anonymous referee for useful comments and suggestions.

References

[1] Amelino-Camelia G., Testable scenario for relativity with minimum-length, *Phys. Lett. B* **510** (2001), 255–263, hep-th/0012238.

[2] Amelino-Camelia G., Relativity in spacetimes with short-distance structure governed by an observer-independent (Planckian) length scale, *Internat. J. Modern Phys. D* **11** (2002), 35–59, gr-qc/0012051.

[3] Andrade F.M., Silva E.O., Effects of quantum deformation on the spin-1/2 Aharonov–Bohm problem, *Phys. Lett. B* **719** (2013), 467–471, arXiv:1212.1944.

[4] Andrade F.M., Silva E.O., Ferreira Jr. M.M., Rodrigues E.C., On the κ-Dirac oscillator revisited, *Phys. Lett. B* **731** (2014), 327–330, arXiv:1312.2973.

[5] Arzano M., Marcianò A., Fock space, quantum fields, and κ-Poincaré symmetries, *Phys. Rev. D* **76** (2007), 125005, 14 pages, hep-th/0701268.

[6] Aschieri P., Blohmann C., Dimitrijević M., Meyer F., Schupp P., Wess J., A gravity theory on noncommutative spaces, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **22** (2005), 3511–3532, hep-th/0504183.

[7] Aschieri P., Dimitrijević M., Meyer F., Wess J., Noncommutative geometry and gravity, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **23** (2006), 1883–1911, hep-th/0510059.

[8] Böhm G., Hopf algebroids, arXiv:0805.3806.

[9] Böhm G., Szlachányi K., Hopf algebroids with bijective antipodes: axioms, integrals, and duals, *J. Algebra* **274** (2004), 708–750, math.QA/0302325.

[10] Bojowald M., Paily G.M., Deformed general relativity, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013), 044044, 7 pages, arXiv:1212.4773.

[11] Borowiec A., Gupta K.S., Meljanac S., Pachoł A., Constraints on the quantum gravity scale from κ-Minkowski spacetime, *Europhys. Lett.* **92** (2010), 20006, 6 pages, arXiv:0912.3299.

[12] Borowiec A., Lukierski J., Pachoł A., Twisting and κ-Poincaré, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **47** (2014), 405203, 12 pages, arXiv:1312.7807.

[13] Borowiec A., Pachoł A., κ-Minkowski spacetime as the result of Jordanian twist deformation, *Phys. Rev. D* **79** (2009), 045012, 11 pages, arXiv:0812.0576.

[14] Borowiec A., Pachoł A., κ-Minkowski spacetimes and DSR algebras: fresh look and old problems, *SIGMA* **6** (2010), 086, 31 pages, arXiv:1005.4429.

[15] Borowiec A., Pachoł A., The classical basis for the κ-Poincaré Hopf algebra and doubly special relativity theories, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43** (2010), 045203, 10 pages, arXiv:0903.5251.

[16] Borowiec A., Pachoł A., Unified description for κ-deformations of orthogonal groups, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74** (2014), 2812, 9 pages, arXiv:1311.4499.

[17] Bu J.-G., Kim H.-C., Yee J.H., Differential structure on κ-Minkowski spacetime realized as module of twisted Weyl algebra, *Phys. Lett. B* **679** (2009), 486–490, arXiv:0903.0040.

[18] Daszkiewicz M., Lukierski J., Woronowicz M., κ-deformed statistics and classical four-momentum addition law, *Modern Phys. Lett. A* **23** (2008), 653–665, hep-th/0703200.

[19] Daszkiewicz M., Lukierski J., Woronowicz M., Towards quantum noncommutative κ-deformed field theory, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008), 105007, 10 pages, arXiv:0708.1561.

[20] de Boer J., Grassi P.A., van Nieuwenhuizen P., Non-commutative superspace from string theory, *Phys. Lett. B* **574** (2003), 98–104, hep-th/0302078.

[21] Dolan B.P., Gupta K.S., Stern A., Noncommutative BTZ black hole and discrete time, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **24** (2007), 1647–1655, hep-th/0611233.

[22] Doplicher S., Fredenhagen K., Roberts J.E., Spacetime quantization induced by classical gravity, *Phys. Lett. B* **331** (1994), 39–44.

[23] Doplicher S., Fredenhagen K., Roberts J.E., The quantum structure of spacetime at the Planck scale and quantum fields, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **172** (1995), 187–220, hep-th/0303037.

[24] Govindarajan T.R., Gupta K.S., Harikumar E., Meljanac S., Meljanac D., Twisted statistics in κ-Minkowski spacetime, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008), 105010, 6 pages, arXiv:0802.1576.

[25] Govindarajan T.R., Gupta K.S., Harikumar E., Meljanac S., Meljanac D., Deformed osciallator algebras and QFT in the κ-Minkowski spacetime, *Phys. Rev. D* **80** (2009), 025014, 11 pages, arXiv:0903.2355.

[26] Gupta K.S., Harikumar E., Jurić T., Meljanac S., Samsarov A., Effects of noncommutativity on the black hole entropy, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2014** (2014), 139172, 10 pages, arXiv:1312.5100.

[27] Gupta K.S., Meljanac S., Samsarov A., Quantum statistics and noncommutative black holes, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012), 045029, 8 pages, arXiv:1108.0341.

[28] Harikumar E., Maxwell's equations on the κ-Minkowski spacetime and electric-magnetic duality, *Europhys. Lett.* **90** (2010), 21001, 6 pages, arXiv:1002.3202.

[29] Harikumar E., Jurić T., Meljanac S., Electrodynamics on κ-Minkowski space-time, *Phys. Rev. D* **84** (2011), 085020, 8 pages, arXiv:1107.3936.

[30] Harikumar E., Jurić T., Meljanac S., Geodesic equation in κ-Minkowski spacetime, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012), 045002, 8 pages, arXiv:1203.1564.

[31] Harikumar E., Sivakumar M., Srinivas N., κ-deformed Dirac equation, *Modern Phys. Lett. A* **26** (2011), 1103–1115, arXiv:0910.5778.

[32] Jurić T., Meljanac S., Štrajn R., Differential forms and κ-Minkowski spacetime from extended twist, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **73** (2013), 2472, 8 pages, arXiv:1211.6612.

[33] Jurić T., Meljanac S., Štrajn R., κ-Poincaré–Hopf algebra and Hopf algebroid structure of phase space from twist, *Phys. Lett. A* **377** (2013), 2472–2476, arXiv:1303.0994.

[34] Jurić T., Meljanac S., Štrajn R., Twists, realizations and Hopf algebroid structure of κ-deformed phase space, *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A* **29** (2014), 1450022, 32 pages, arXiv:1305.3088.

[35] Jurić T., Meljanac S., Štrajn R., Universal κ-Poincaré covariant differential calculus over κ-Minkowski space, *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A* **29** (2014), 1450121, 14 pages, arXiv:1312.2751.

[36] Kempf A., Mangano G., Minimal length uncertainty relation and ultraviolet regularization, *Phys. Rev. D* **55** (1997), 7909–7920, hep-th/9612084.

[37] Kim H.-C., Lee Y., Rim C., Yee J.H., Differential structure on the κ-Minkowski spacetime from twist, *Phys. Lett. B* **671** (2009), 398–401, arXiv:0808.2866.

[38] Kim H.-C., Lee Y., Rim C., Yee J.H., Scalar field theory in κ-Minkowski spacetime from twist, *J. Math. Phys.* **50** (2009), 102304, 12 pages, arXiv:0901.0049.

[39] Kosiński P., Lukierski J., Maślanka P., Local $D = 4$ field theory on κ-deformed Minkowski space, *Phys. Rev. D* **62** (2000), 025004, 10 pages, hep-th/9902037.

[40] Kovačević D., Meljanac S., Kappa-Minkowski spacetime, kappa-Poincaré Hopf algebra and realizations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **45** (2012), 135208, 24 pages, arXiv:1110.0944.

[41] Kovačević D., Meljanac S., Pachoł A., Štrajn R., Generalized Poincaré algebras, Hopf algebras and κ-Minkowski spacetime, *Phys. Lett. B* **711** (2012), 122–127, arXiv:1202.3305.

[42] Kovačević D., Meljanac S., Samsarov A., Škoda Z., Hermitian realizations of κ-Minkowski spacetime, arXiv:1307.5772.

[43] Kowalski-Glikman J., Introduction to doubly special relativity, in Planck Scale Effects in Astrophysics and Cosmology, *Lecture Notes in Phys.*, Vol. 669, Springer, Berlin, 2005, 131–159, hep-th/0405273.

[44] Kowalski-Glikman J., Nowak S., Doubly special relativity theories as different bases of κ-Poincaré algebra, *Phys. Lett. B* **539** (2002), 126–132, hep-th/0203040.

[45] Kulish P.P., Lyakhovsky V.D., Mudrov A.I., Extended Jordanian twists for Lie algebras, *J. Math. Phys.* **40** (1999), 4569–4586, math.QA/9806014.

[46] Kupriyanov V.G., A hydrogen atom on curved noncommutative space, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **46** (2013), 245303, 7 pages, arXiv:1209.6105.

[47] Kupriyanov V.G., Quantum mechanics with coordinate dependent noncommutativity, *J. Math. Phys.* **54** (2013), 112105, 25 pages, arXiv:1204.4823.

[48] Lu J.-H., Hopf algebroids and quantum groupoids, *Internat. J. Math.* **7** (1996), 47–70, q-alg/9505024.

[49] Lukierski J., Nowicki A., Ruegg H., New quantum Poincaré algebra and κ-deformed field theory, *Phys. Lett. B* **293** (1992), 344–352.

[50] Lukierski J., Ruegg H., Quantum κ-Poincaré in any dimension, *Phys. Lett. B* **329** (1994), 189–194, hep-th/9310117.

[51] Lukierski J., Ruegg H., Nowicki A., Tolstoy V.N., q-deformation of Poincaré algebra, *Phys. Lett. B* **264** (1991), 331–338.

[52] Majid S., Foundations of quantum group theory, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.

[53] Majid S., Ruegg H., Bicrossproduct structure of κ-Poincaré group and non-commutative geometry, *Phys. Lett. B* **334** (1994), 348–354, hep-th/9404107.

[54] Meljanac S., Krešić-Jurić S., Stojić M., Covariant realizations of kappa-deformed space, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **51** (2007), 229–240, hep-th/0702215.

[55] Meljanac S., Samsarov A., Scalar field theory on κ-Minkowski space-time and translation and Lorentz invariance, *Internat. J. Modern Phys. A* **26** (2011), 1439–1468, arXiv:1007.3943.

[56] Meljanac S., Samsarov A., Stojić M., Gupta K.S., κ-Minkowski spacetime and the star product realizations, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **53** (2008), 295–309, arXiv:0705.2471.

[57] Meljanac S., Samsarov A., Štrajn R., κ-deformation of phase space; generalized Poincaré algebras and R-matrix, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2012** (2012), no. 8, 127, 16 pages, arXiv:1204.4324.

[58] Meljanac S., Stojić M., New realizations of Lie algebra kappa-deformed Euclidean space, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **47** (2006), 531–539, hep-th/0605133.

[59] Meljanac S., Škoda Z., Lie algebra type noncommutative phase spaces are Hopf algebroids, arXiv:1409.8188.

[60] Schupp P., Solodukhin S., Exact black hole solutions in noncommutative gravity, arXiv:0906.2724.

[61] Seiberg N., Witten E., String theory and noncommutative geometry, *J. High Energy Phys.* **1999** (1999), no. 9, 032, 93 pages, hep-th/9908142.

[62] Takeuchi M., Groups of algebras over $A \otimes \overline{A}$, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **29** (1977), 459–492.

[63] Xu P., Quantum groupoids, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **216** (2001), 539–581, math.QA/9905192.

[64] Young C.A.S., Zegers R., Covariant particle exchange for κ-deformed theories in $1+1$ dimensions, *Nuclear Phys. B* **804** (2008), 342–360, arXiv:0803.2659.

[65] Young C.A.S., Zegers R., Covariant particle statistics and intertwiners of the κ-deformed Poincaré algebra, *Nuclear Phys. B* **797** (2008), 537–549, arXiv:0711.2206.